S.B.Cr.Misc. Bail App. No. 5250/2007

(Sandeep Kumar Vs. State of Rajasthan)

Date of order : 24/10/2007

HON'BLE MR.H.R.PANWAR, J.

Mr. M.K.Garg for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State. Perused the order impugned and FIR.

In the FIR it has been stated that the police received a secret information about Mithu Singh, his son Manjeet and Surendra manufacturing spurious ghee. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR.

On perusal of the FIR, I find that the petitioner has not been named. In this view of the matter, the case of the present petitioner is distinguishable from that of other co-accused Mithu Singh, Manjeet and Surendra who were named in the FIR.

Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case and having considered the oral arguments advanced by both the parties, I think it just and proper to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, this bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that petitioner Sandeep Kumar S/o Sohan Lal be released on bail in FIR No. 511/07 P.S. Sangria, district Hanumangarh, provided he executes a personal bond for a sum of Rs.

20,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(H.R.PANWAR), J.

RP